

# Meeting Minutes– Tuesday 5<sup>th</sup> May 2020

## Tisbury Parish Council

The Reading Room, High Street, TISBURY, SP3 6LD

01747 260088 / 07388 376240

tisburyipc@gmail.com / [www.tisbury-wiltshire-pc.gov.uk](http://www.tisbury-wiltshire-pc.gov.uk)

**Questions or Statements** – no members of the public were present.

**Report from Wiltshire Councillor** – WCnllr Deane reported on 2 issues:

- a. some Wiltshire Council staff working in income-generating departments were being furloughed, and
- b. as yet there had been no indication as to when the next Southern Area Planning Committee would be held.

### MEETING AGENDA

20.05.01	<p><b>Those present:</b> P.Cnllrs S. Davison (Chairman), Mrs J. Amos, R. Beattie, Ms E. Coyle-Camp, P. Duffy (until 8.02pm), Ms J. Ings, G. Murray - 7. Also in attendance: WCnllr T. Deane; Mrs S. Harry (Clerk).</p> <p>Apologies were received from: P.Cnllr F. Corp due to connectivity issues.</p>	
20.05.02	<p><b>A. Declarations of Interest</b> - any P.Cnllr wishing to declare interests should do so at this point:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. declarations of disclosable pecuniary and non-pecuniary interests already declared in the Register of Interests - P.Cnllr ECC with respect to 20/01931 – ownership of property affected by the development.</li><li>b. declarations of disclosable pecuniary and non-pecuniary interests not previously declared in the Register of Interests.</li></ol> <p><b>B. <u>Dispensations</u>:</b> Note that P.Cnllr ECC abstained from commenting and voting on 20/01931/FUL.</p>	
20.05.03	<p><b><u>Resolution of minutes:</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. Meeting 1 – 7<sup>th</sup> April 2020; deferred until June meeting</li><li>b. Meeting 2 – 21<sup>st</sup> April 2020; deferred until June meeting</li></ol>	
<b>PLANNING MATTERS</b>		
20.05.04	<p><u>Planning Applications</u> <b>20/01931/FUL</b> - Trellis House, Station Road, Tisbury, SP3 6JR Provide 6 terraced houses and two semi-detached houses with ancillary road access new high access, and demolition of existing outbuilding at Trellis House <i>Note: P.Cnllr ECC not participating in discussion or vote.</i></p> <p>P.Cnllrs discussed this application at great length before resolving to object to this application for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. recognition of the objections made by Wiltshire Council Officers,</li><li>b. along with the previously stated position of the parish council with respect to:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>i. the factual error in submitting the application as a social housing scheme rather than a free market scheme.</li></ol></li></ol>	

	<p>ii. the lack of a proposed s106 agreement to support the stated aim of providing affordable housing for local residents.</p> <p>P.Cnllrs further resolved to 'call-in' the application if Officers were minded to approve. <b>Proposed PD /seconded JA /unanimous of those voting 19/11164/FUL</b> – Nadder Community Campus B1 use; application amended to limit the maximum floor space for B1 use to 20% at any one time.</p> <p>P.Cnllrs discussed this application at some length before resolving to support the amended application, but request that Wiltshire Council be mindful that previous obligations have still not been met with respect to the demolition of the former sports centre (condition 8 of 14/04907/ful &amp; condition 3 of 19/03260/var refer).</p> <p><b>Proposed RAB /seconded ECC /5 in favour with 1 objection and 1 abstention</b></p> <p><b>20/03158/FUL</b> – Paddock Wood, Hindon Lane, Tisbury, SP3 6QQ Construct single storey glazed garden room; re-configure existing roof and carry out internal alterations. P.Cnllrs resolved 'No objection' to this application following a brief discussion. <b>Proposed JA /seconded JI /unanimous</b></p> <p><b>20/03061//FUL</b> – 3 Oddford Vale, Tisbury, SP3 6 NJ - 2 storey extension Although the roofline was noted to be at the same height of the main house (rather than subservient to the main structure), P.Cnllrs resolved to offer 'No objection' to this application. <b>Proposed JA /seconded JI /6 in favour with 1 abstention</b></p> <p><b>20/03500/TPO</b> – 21 Ladydown View, Tisbury, SP3 6LL – 2 no. Lime trees; crown reduce 35% - <b>delegated 'no objection'</b>.</p>	
<b>FINANCIAL MATTERS</b> – resolutions required		
20.05.05  (8.02pm)	<p><b>Tisbury Neighbourhood Response (TNR)</b> – application for SSE grant submitted for £2996 and approved. Monies will be received in approx. 4 weeks. Includes £960 for emergency food boxes; P.Cnllrs acknowledged that there would be an element of subjectivity in the evaluation of those in need, especially those with mental health issues.</p>	
20.05.06	<p><b>Insurance quotes for 2020/2021</b> – see Appendix 1. P.Cnllrs noted cover must be in place by 31<sup>st</sup> May 2020 before discussing the comparison table of 4 quotes. The Clerk advised a choice between quotes 1 and 4, with the cost difference of £56.51. Quote 1 was the cheaper of the 2 quotes but the level of cover for Public Liability and Officials Dishonesty was significantly less; however, there was no Hirer's Liability provided within quote 4 (may be relevant for the Marquee). The final decision would be made under delegated powers to the Clerk in conjunction with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman, following enquiries with the companies.</p>	
<b>Other Items</b>		
20.05.07	<p><b>Community Area Transport Group (CATG)</b> – see Appendix 2. P.Cnllrs noted the application submitted for the 20mph limit extensions previously circulated. It was not known when the next CATG meeting would be held.</p>	
20.05.08	<p><b>Correspondence</b> – to be circulated later.</p>	
20.05.09	<p><b>Items for Next Agenda</b> – Covid-19: support for small businesses and preparation for the 2<sup>nd</sup> wave.</p>	

20.05.10	<b>Exclusion of the Public and Press</b> - that under the Public Bodies (Admission to meetings) Act 1960 (as extended by s.100 of the LGA 1972), the public and accredited representatives of newspapers be excluded from the following item of business on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part I of Schedule 12A of the LGA 1972 - none.	
20.05.11	Date of next meetings: all at 7pm unless otherwise stated: a. Tuesday 2 <sup>nd</sup> June 2020 b. Tuesday 16 <sup>th</sup> June 2020 – if necessary.	
	There being no other business, the meeting concluded at 8:50pm.	

Appendix 1 – Quotes received for 2020/2021 insurance cover.

Appendix 2 – Application submitted to CATG for extensions of 20mph limit.

## 2020/2021: Insurance Quote Comparisons

Appendix 1

<b>Premium - 1 year</b>		£806.52 +£50	£965.13 +£50	£869.67 +£50	£983.34
<b>Premium – LTA – 3 years</b>		£806.52 +£50			£913.03
<b>Sums Insured/Limits</b>					
<b>Area of Cover</b>		<b>Company 1</b>	<b>Company 2</b>	<b>Company 3</b>	<b>Company 4</b>
<b>Public Liability</b>		£10,000,000	£10,000,000	£10,000,000	£12,000,000
Including	Hirers Liability	£5,000,000	£5,000,000	£2,000,000	
	Libel & Slander	£500,000	£500,000	£250,000	£500,000
<b>Employers' Liability</b>		£10,000,000	£10,000,000	£10,000,000	£10,000,000
<b>Officials &amp; Trustees Liability</b>		£500,000	£500,000	£500,000	£12,000,000
<b>Employee Dishonesty</b>		£150,000	£150,000	£150,000	£250,000
<b>Legal Expenses</b>		£500,000	£100,000	£250,000	£200,000
<b>Personal Accident</b>		£100,000/£500pw	£100,000/£500pw	£50,000/£250pw	£100,000/£500pw
<b>Property Damage</b>					
Including	Defibrillator & Cabinets	£5,000	£5,000	£5,000	Individual sums
<b>Business Interruption</b>					
Including	Loss of Revenue	£10,000	£10,000	£10,000	
	Increased Cost of Working	£10,000	£10,000	£10,000	
	Key Person Cover	£250pw up to max £2,500 pa	£250pw up to max £2,500 pa	£400pw up to 26 weeks	£100k/£500 - £100pw
<b>Contents (away from premises)</b>		£5,000	£5,000	£5,000	
<b>Money</b>		£2,500	£1,000	£1,000	£250,000
<b>Internet &amp; Email</b>		£500,000	£50,000	n/a	
<b>Crisis Management</b>		£500,000	£25,000	n/a	

2020**TISBURY PARISH COUNCIL****APPLICATION TO CATG FOR A PROPOSED EXTENSION OF THE  
TISBURY 20 mph AREA in the following roads: Vicarage Road, Duck Street,  
Cuffs Lane, Court Street, Park Road, The Avenue and Tisbury Row.**

**Fao Julie Watts**  
**CATG Principal Engineer**  
**Traffic Engineering**

Dear Julie,

Over the past year Tisbury Parish Council has received numerous and regular representations from 20 plus residents at our meetings for extensions of the 20mph limit throughout the village; the problems being an increase in number of vehicles, speeding and increased weights of vehicles.

In February 2019, Parish Councillors were sympathetic to the cause but were cautious about proceeding with further requests for 20mph limits if there was little evidence of these perceived problems.

Tisbury Parish Council at that time were waiting for funding from the Area Board for a Speed Indicator Device (SID) and a request for approval of a Community Speed Watch (CSW) site (following a traffic count on Hindon Lane after the introduction of the 20mph limit) and training of a small number of CSW volunteers since October 2018. The combination of the SID and CSW schemes were seen by other local communities as the best way forward to combat speeding vehicles.

Residents at the February 2019 meeting agreed that they would take part in a revitalised CSW initiative and a resident was appointed as Residents' Representative for a 20mph limit throughout the village; the first objective being an operational CSW site on Hindon Lane.

The Parish Councillors in turn agreed the purchase of a SID (subject to Area Board funding) and to submit traffic count requests to gain further information on the speed, type and number of vehicles on a number of roads in Tisbury.

The roads involved were Cuffs Lane, Court Street, Park Road, The Avenue and Church Street. Although Church Street already had a 20mph limit, the speed of vehicles was required for a CSW site.

In the meantime, many residents (over 20) expressed an interest in being trained for CSW duties and a petition of over 60 residents in 2018 was resurrected that demonstrated to the Parish Council that there was sufficient commitment of residents to encourage drivers to change their attitude and positive community benefits.

In March 2020, a letter drop to all residents in Tisbury asked whether there would be support for the proposed extension of the 20mph limit and although there were 6 objections, over 94% of respondents (104 in total) were in favour of the proposals

The information from the traffic counts undertaken in November 2019 and the resident survey in March 2020 has allowed Tisbury Parish Council to move forward with:

- a. This application to extend the 20mph speed limit to the above roads; the Vicarage Road traffic count has been submitted subsequently and the requests for Duck Street and Tisbury Row arose from the letter drop responses.
- b. Future requests for CSW sites from the Police – for use on a rota basis rather than increasing the overall number.

- c. Future sites for positioning the SID; currently new sites have been approved by Wiltshire Council on the High Street, The Avenue and Church Street; adding to the private property site on Hindon Lane and a proposed private property site on Cuffs Lane.

The Parish Councillors know a 20mph limit reduces traffic speed: on Hindon Lane, by 2017 it had fallen by 30% since previous measurement in 2012, and it has now fallen a further 10% since.

Also that an increased number and larger traffic is coming into Tisbury and means many people no longer feel safe using our roads. People living in the many older houses opening directly onto the road also feel threatened.

But more large vehicles are now using the Chicks Grove route because that is the only one without a low bridge or archway. Residents on that side of the village have now asked that the 20mph limit should cover their area too.

Tisbury Parish Council is therefore now asking that the 20mph speed limit extensions specified are now added to those existing limits; being aware that:

- d. these extensions are likely to be self-enforcing (evidenced from the traffic counts already undertaken),
- e. are on roads that are narrow and have residential on-street parking,
- f. and probably most crucially, little in the way of pavements or pedestrian refuges.

I would be grateful if you would accept this submission and look forward to the next CATG meeting where it will be discussed along with any others.

Many thanks.

Yours sincerely,

Sandra Harry – Parish Clerk and Responsible Financial Officer