

Tisbury Parish Council - Minutes of Interim Meeting

Tuesday 13th June 2011 in the Elizabeth Hall, Tisbury at 6:30pm

DRAFT

11.06.19	<p>Present: P.Cnllrs P. Duffy (Chairman), D. Carroll (Vice-Chairman), J. Berkley-Matthews (RFO), Mrs J. Amos (until 7:30pm), D. Boxall, Mrs Pam Chave, Miss F. Corp and R. Dearden - 8.</p> <p>Also in attendance: Tom Gardner (WC Hways Development Control); WCnllr Tony Deane; up to 24 members of the public; Mrs S. Harry (Clerk).</p> <p><u>Apologies received and accepted:</u> T.J. Barnes.</p>	
11.06.20	<p><u>Declarations of Interest:</u></p> <p>None - although accepted that all present had a personal interest in the development.</p>	
11.06.21	<p><u>Public Discussion - Hways proposals for Hindon Lane traffic management</u></p> <p>a. The Chairman gave a summary of the current position with respect to the planning applications relating to the Hindon Lane Development and reminded those present of the meetings held over the past months to allow residents to express their views and gain feedback from CG Fry Ltd, the developers. Residents were also reminded that it was the responsibility of Wiltshire Council to implement proposals for traffic management, together with ongoing capital and maintenance works along Hindon Lane. The design of the entrance to the development from Hindon Lane was a part of the planning process for the development and the responsibility lay with CG Fry Ltd - but whether a roundabout or T-junction would be decided by Wiltshire Council.</p> <p>b. The proposals for traffic management were indicated on plans provided by WC Hways DC and effectively divided Hindon Lane into 6 sections, each with its own problems and potential improvements; these details are illustrated on the attached draft plan - Site investigation and options for highway enhancement dated 28th March 2011. The residents comments were recorded as:</p> <p><u>Section 1</u> - general agreement with proposals for double yellow lines to allow for passing pull-in / refuge for vehicles. Enforcement likely to be sporadic.</p> <p><u>Section 2</u> - general agreement to limit speed of vehicles, but concerns were expressed on physical narrowing of the road; 'painted pedestrian pavements' may be the answer; 'tracking' vehicle movements through hazards in the section using specialist software may provide some insight; a 20mph speed limit would find favour with all present, although it was acknowledged that the Police did not enforce such limits.</p> <p><u>Section 3</u> - residents thought the proposals needed to be more robust and extensive, particularly with respect to parking restrictions and creating off-road parking to the rear of 1-8 Hindon Lane residents. The proposals for this section had to take account of requirements from the Environment Agency/DEFRA relating to water run-off and needed further discussion; however, extension of double yellow lines (taking account of a disabled access bay required for 1 resident) and an extension of the 30mph speed limit (to beyond the entrance to the development ideally) would be re-considered by WC Hways Officers.</p> <p><u>Section 4</u> - general agreement that the speed limit roundels (of whatever speed) need to be more obvious. Residents again reinforced the concept that the entrance to the development needed to be within a 30mph zone maximum.</p> <p><u>Section 5</u> - general agreement that passing places were needed in this very narrow section of Hindon Lane. In addition, residents highlighted the very real problems for pedestrians also.</p> <p><u>Section 6</u> - general agreement that no new traffic management measures were required. However, the issue of traffic travelling in excess of the 40mph limit was discussed. It was recommended that the PC raise this as an issue with the area board, for possible use of a SID.</p>	Clerk

7:30pm	c. The Chairman then summarised the discussion points for each section and suggested that TG take all comments on board, amend the plans and draw up an estimate (note: with a view that funding would be sought from the Area Board Transport group).	
11.06.22	<p>On request of the residents, the PC confirmed its position with respect to:</p> <p>a. the accesses between the development and Sports Centre/Weaveland Road - the Chairman confirmed that the PC had requested that the Sports Centre access should initially be gated, with an option to be open and under the control of the local community; the emergency access into Weaveland Road be left open but with restricted width as a traffic calming measure.</p> <p>b. the crinkly tin roofs to garages and light industrial units - the PC had requested roofing to match that of the associated house and the tin on the industrial units should be replaced with traditional materials of timber or brick for example.</p> <p>c. the roundabout / T-junction entrance - the Chairman confirmed that the PC favoured the T-junction, whilst acknowledging that there were some advantages to a roundabout.</p>	
11.06.23	Finally, the Chairman joined with the residents in thanking Tom Gardner for attending the meeting and for his open and empathetic demeanor in dealing with the issues raised.	
	<p>Date and time of next meetings Full meeting - Tuesday 5th July 2011 @ 7pm Planning and consultation meetings as advised.</p>	

There being no other business, the meeting closed at 7:50pm.