

# Tisbury Parish Council - Minutes of Full Meeting

Tuesday 5<sup>th</sup> April 2011 in the Victoria Hall, Tisbury at 7pm

DRAFT

## PART I - with public and members of the press present

11.04.01	<p><b>Present:</b> P.Cnllrs P. Duffy (Chairman), D. Carroll (Vice-Chairman), J. Berkley-Matthews (RFO), Mrs J. Amos, T. Barnes, Mrs Pam Chave, Miss F. Corp, R. Dearden, - 8.</p> <p><b>Also in attendance:</b> up to 16 members of the public; WCnllr. T. Deane; Mrs S. Harry (Clerk).</p> <p><b>Apologies received and accepted</b> D. Boxall, P. Coopman and M. McGrath.</p>
11.04.02	<p><b>Declarations of Interest:</b></p> <p>Due to the agenda item on the Hindon Lane development, it was accepted that all present would have a personal interest in the discussion.</p> <p>P.Cnllr Mrs J. Amos declared a personal interest during the PC newsletter delivery discussion when the option of using the 'Posties' was raised.</p>
11.04.03	<p><b>Public Participation and Presentations:</b></p> <p>S/2011/0433 - the applicant spoke in support of the proposals that would, in particular, make a safer parking area within the property curtilage rather than a parking 'bay' on Hindon Lane.</p>
11.04.04	<p><b>Hindon Lane Development:</b></p> <p>The main points raised during this open discussion with Cnllrs were:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Access to and from the development through Churchill Estate and Weaveland Road - the potential for a rat run and speeding traffic would be encouraged by leaving the gates open. Others favoured the open gates that would allow an 'interconnection' between the old and new housing areas of the village. It was felt that the 'cul de sac' designs of earlier developments (Gillingham was mentioned) may cause community problems.</li> <li>A straw poll of those residents present at the meeting (16) was taken; 11 were in favour of leaving the gates open; 3 were against with 2 abstentions.</li> <li>b. The fabric of the 'industrial buildings' was not generally welcomed, especially as they were the first structures seen on entering the development from Hindon Lane. A material more in keeping with the local environs was thought more suitable than 'crinkly tin'.</li> <li>c. Some residents thought the industrial units were well placed, being set back from the road with parking to the rear.</li> <li>d. Mention of screening with the plans was thought to be at odds with other references to the High Hedge Act.</li> <li>e. Speed restrictions on Hindon Lane - some residents in favour; others against, indicating that parked cars were a better deterrent than unenforced speed restrictions.</li> <li>f. The siting of traffic calming 'pinch points' was questioned, but there was no real consensus of opinion. The pinch point outside Barrowby House was located at the only really safe place for 2 lorries to pass at the current time; the potential double yellow lines however would improve visibility.</li> <li>g. The status of the track running between the allotments and the development site was raised. Would this be a footpath, cycle track, be surfaced, have street lights etc?</li> <li>h. The loss of residents' privacy for those living at 1 to 8 Hindon Lane since the change of road layout was highlighted.</li> <li>i. Highway Engineers were urged not to allow any incline on the approach to the T-junction towards Hindon Lane for at least 5 car lengths; the installation of traffic lights was also mentioned.</li> </ol>

	<p>j. Planning Officers were urged to place a condition allowing site traffic to approach from the north only.</p> <p>k. An aesthetic point - a high proportion of the house facades were very symmetrical.</p> <p>l. Little mention is made of sustainability or green issues.</p> <p>m. Highway Engineers were urged to assess the impact of additional cars/traffic on the High Street and also other key areas within the village, such as the station and the need to slow traffic on the High Street where there are stretches without pavement.</p> <p>n. There was an overall positive response to the various options produced by the Highway Engineers for measures to help residents on Hindon Lane with respect to parking, speeding etc.</p>	
11.04.05	<p><b>CONSIDERATION OF PLANNING APPLICATIONS</b></p> <p><b>S/2011/0433/full</b> - Primrose Cottage, Hindon Lane, Tisbury - Creation of off-road parking and gated entrance and erection of retaining walls and fencing in association</p> <p>P.Cnllrs resolved to support this application.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>proposed RD / seconded DC / unanimous</b></p>	Clerk
11.04.06	<p><u>Applications Determined</u> - Cnllrs noted the rejection of the plans for the Boot Inn by WC; for reasons of overdevelopment.</p>	
11.04.07	<p><u>Approval of minutes:</u></p> <p>01.03.2011 - full meeting - Cnllrs resolved to accept the minutes  <b>proposed RD / seconded MrsPamC / unanimous of those present (4)</b></p> <p>15.03.2011 - interim meeting - Cnllrs resolved to accept the minutes  <b>proposed RD / seconded MMcG / unanimous of those present (6)</b></p> <p>01.03.2011 - the Joint Burial Committee minutes were noted.</p>	
11.04.08	<p><b>FINANCE</b></p> <p>a. Financial Report - P.Cnllrs were referred to the financial information sheets circulated with the agenda; including retrospective approval of cheque payments totaling £2252.66 and monthly SOs of £1090 in March, together with cheque payments of £141.55 and monthly SOs of £1090 in April</p> <p>P.Cnllrs resolved to accept the information contained within the sheets and appended as a part of the minutes  <b>proposed JBM / seconded DC / unanimous</b></p> <p>b. Grass Cutting Contract 2011 - P.Cnllrs noted the 2010 monthly price for the KGV<sup>th</sup> play area and amenity areas around the village of £77.96 compared to the quote for 2011 season of £83.95. The lowest comparable price obtained in 2009 was still over £100 per month more than the 2011 price quoted by our current contractor.</p> <p>P.Cnllrs resolved to accept the 2011 quote; noting that formal tenders should be obtained for the 2012 season.  <b>proposed DC / seconded RD / unanimous</b></p>	Clerk
11.04.09	<p><b>MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED and/or RESOLVED</b></p>	
	<p>a. <b>Transfer of community assets from WC to TPC; allotment gardens</b></p> <p>No progress to report.</p> <p>b. <b>Transfer of WC functions (car park and public toilets) to the parish council</b></p> <p>i. <b>Enforcement in the car park - Wiltshire Council costs</b></p> <p>An offer from WC had been received to enforce parking within the car park at predetermined intervals and at a cost of £20ph. Although this was not thought to be cost effective, WC would be asked whether this service could be obtained 'on demand' only, i.e. at specific requests by the PC.</p> <p>ii. <b>Public Toilets/ Car Park leases</b></p> <p>No further progress had been made with the car park lease, but a Heads of Terms</p>	Clerk

	<p>draft document (circulated at the meeting) had been received for comment relating to the Public Toilets lease. Various queries were made concerning section 9 and these were noted to query with WC, along with minor errors in location name and the % loss of subsidy in each year for the 6½ year lease.</p> <p>P.Cnllrs resolved to accept the Heads of Term document subject satisfactory answers being received back from WC.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>proposed DC / seconded Mrs JA / unanimous</b></p> <p><b>iii. Garage storage for public toilets</b></p> <p>P.Cnllrs noted that a request has been made for the likely costs of using the lock up garage on the car park for TPC storage; WC is looking at including in the lease, with a separate rent etc. If this is not possible, a metal storage locker will be required for the Village warden to store equipment and materials associated with cleaning the public toilets. P.Cnllrs were asked to delegate the decision on how to progress this to the Chairman &amp; Vice Chairman after consultation with the Clerk.</p> <p><b>c. Proposed changes to the no. 26 bus service</b> - David Carroll had queried the proposed deletion of the 26 bus service on a Saturday by Wilts and Dorset Bus Services and some information had been received (appended) from WC; approaches would be made to TISBUS for a possible replacement service.</p> <p><b>d. TPC Newsletter for residents and distribution plans</b> - the draft newsletter was approved by those P.Cnllrs present and the 'Posties' would be approached for delivery. P.Cnllrs resolved to make a payment under S.137 powers to the 'Posties' if delivery was undertaken by them.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Proposed DC / seconded RD / 7 in favour with Mrs JA abstaining (personal interest)</b></p> <p><b>e. Use of KGVth playing field during Carnival week</b> - P.Cnllrs discussed the request from the Carnival Committee to use an area nearby Nadder school, in which tents could be erected for the purpose of sleeping in, for one night only. P.Cnllrs agreed that the applicant would be requested to provide more information before a decision was made, as there were concerns on a number of issues, including toilet facilities.</p> <p><b>f. The Localism Bill</b> - the circulated response to Peter Coopman was noted; the Localism Bill would be a topic at an Area Board meeting, so further discussion was deferred.</p> <p><b>g. Affordable Housing in Tisbury</b> - no discussion; although the situation at Ladydown View was noted.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;"><b>Clerkl</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>DC</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>Clerk</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>Clerk</b></p>
11.04.10	<p><u>Report from Wiltshire County Councillor</u></p> <p>a. WCnllr TD reminded those present of the SWCAB meeting on 13<sup>th</sup> April which would primarily focus on the proposed pilot project for the rural community campus. All P.Cnllrs were urged to attend.</p> <p>b. Community Board funding for TISBUS to purchase a further vehicle was noted.</p>	
11.04.11	<p><u>Report from Community Beat Officers</u> - not present.</p>	
11.04.12	<p><u>Reports from Chairman, Clerk and Parish Councillors</u></p> <p>a. Chairman's report - no additional items.</p> <p>b. Clerk's report - no additional items.</p> <p>c. Clarence / Highway Issues:</p> <p>i. The Park Road 'Give Way' sign was still not visible due to vegetation overgrowing the sight line approaching the junction with Cuffs Lane - this</p>	<p style="text-align: right;"><b>Clerk</b></p>

	<p>would be reported again, as although some trimming back had taken place, visibility was very poor.</p> <p>ii. The additional lamppost o/s 5 The Avenue would be reported.</p> <p>iii. Defects reported - the footpath between the public toilets and the LRG was breaking up; the pavement o/s Blundells was still waiting for attention.</p> <p>iv. A letter from WH'ways (circulated) was discussed, in particular the need for a meeting with WH'ways officers to nominate village 'blackspots'.</p> <p>d. KGVth Playground inspection - the junior 4 bay swings had been removed due to bolts stabilising the frame being removed; replacement bolts had now been received and the swings replaced. The scramble net required by the ROSPA report had also been received and would be erected soon.</p> <p>e. Lower Recreation Ground inspection - the cone climber was not moving freely.</p> <p>f. Footpaths - the walk to the former RAF Chilmark was noted.</p> <p>g. Community composting - due to the work done by the Community Payback Team, the additional bins were no longer required.</p> <p>h. Allotments - no report.</p> <p>i. CAB report - no report made.</p> <p>j. JBC - P.Cnllrs noted that no rise in fees would be made in the coming year.</p> <p>k. TCSP - A TAPCAP grant had been received for ongoing administration costs.</p> <p>l. CAPYG - no report.</p> <p>m. Joint Parish Advisory Group - no report.</p> <p>n. Invasive Plants Project - no further reports due.</p>	<p>Clerk</p> <p>Clerk</p> <p>Clerk</p>
11.04.13	<p><b>NOTICES</b></p> <p>a. Race for Life - Sunday 4<sup>th</sup> July 2011 from Hudson's Field in Salisbury - noted.</p> <p>b. Water Level Management Team newsletter - noted.</p>	
11.04.14	<p><b>Correspondence received:</b> Noted.</p>	
11.04.15 (8:52pm)	<p><b>Date and time of next meetings</b></p> <p>AGM - Tuesday 3<sup>rd</sup> May 2011 at 7pm</p> <p>Full - Tuesday 3<sup>rd</sup> May 2011 at c. 7:30pm</p> <p>Planning and interim matters meetings as required.</p>	
<p><b>PART II - with public and members of the press excluded</b></p>		
11.04.16	<p><b>Exclusion of the Press and Public - to consider the following resolution:</b></p> <p>a. It is considered that publicity in relation to the following item would be prejudicial to the public interest by reason of the confidential nature of the business to be transacted.</p> <p>b. Therefore, under subsection 1(2) of the Public Bodies (Admission to meetings) Act 1960, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following item:</p> <p>i. Stand-ins for the Village Warden; Litter bins, public toilets &amp; car park; key holder for lock up of public toilets - the proposals were accepted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">DC /JBM / unanimous</p> <p>ii. King George Vth Playing Field lease P.Cnllrs agreed to request an improved offer.</p>	
	<p>There being no other business, the meeting closed at 9:05pm.</p>	